

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/008,201	12/06/2001	Randy D. Petrea	5374	2152	
75	90 08/07/2003				
William S. Parks			EXAMINER		
P.O. Box 1927 Spartanburg, SC 29304			GELLNER,	GELLNER, JEFFREY L	
		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3643		
			DATE MAILED: 08/07/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/008,201	PETREA ET AL.	
•	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jeffrey L. Gellner	3643	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with th	orrespondence address	
Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to averfinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	a timely filed amendment which with appeal fee); or (3) a timely	ation. A proper reply to a	
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offictimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply be later than three months after the mai	unt of the fee. The appropriate extensio originally set in the final Office action; or	n
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	s Brief must be filed within the pe R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o	eriod set forth in f the appeal.	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) They raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b			
(c) they are not deemed to place the application is issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the	
(d) they present additional claims without cancell NOTE:	ng a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims.	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).		eparate, timely filed amendment	
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: See	reconsideration has been consideration Sheet.	dered but does NOT place the	
 The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 		to issues which were newly	
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	t(s) a)□ will not be entered or b ould be rejected is provided belo)∏ will be entered and an own or appended.	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapp	proved by the Examiner.	
9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt/s)/ PTO-1/49) Paner No(s)		
10. Other:	Vita	A V A BOOM	
	8/5/03 TECHTI	DIOGY CENTER 3600	
	•		

1

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments appear to contrast the actual purpose and function of the prior art reference with the instant application. Regardless of the intended purpose, Examiner considers the Hirsbrunner reference to read on the language of Claim 1.